## UCDAVIS HEALTH

### Introduction

- Second trimester abortion is associated with higher rates of complications compared to first trimester abortion.<sup>1,2</sup>
- Common complications for second trimester abortion include uterine perforation, uterine rupture and hemorrhage.<sup>2</sup>
- Standardized interventions for second trimester medical terminations have not decreased rates of postoperative dilation and curettage (D&C) or other complications.<sup>3</sup>
- Little is known about the frequency or timing of specific complications during second trimester termination of pregnancy.
- Current standardized intervention at UC Davis for termination of pregnancies 22 weeks gestational age or more requires patients to be observed in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) for 8 hours post-operation. This project aims to evaluate the timing and rates of complications to determine the effectiveness as well as possible revisions that can be made to the institutional postoperative monitoring after dilation and evacuation at 22 weeks gestational age (GA) or further at UC Davis.

## Methods

- Retrospective study of patients undergoing D&E 11/2020-12/2022
- Inclusion criteria: ≥22 wks GA
- Data collected: demographics, medical history, cesarean delivery history, complications, interventions, time of interventions, bleeding, time of bleeding, length of stay in the PACU, and subsequent procedures
- We analyzed administration of methylergonovine to determine whether it was given prophylactically due to protocol or as an intervention.
- Bleeding outcomes relied on via chart documentation.



# Outcomes of 8-Hour Observation for Dilation and Evacuation at 22 Weeks or Greater

#### Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Characteristic		N (%) or Median (range)	Time that								
Age		28y (13-42)	Patients Left		# Patients		R	eason for	<sup>r</sup> Leaving		
Gestational Age		22w6d (22w0d-27w0d)	early after D&E								
	Black or African	<b>JE (140/)</b>	t=0-1	hrs	0						
Race	American	25 (14%)	1-2		1	Other/not specified					
	White	72 (41%)	L-Ζ		L		0	ther/not	specifieu		
	Asian	8 (5%)	2-3		0						
	Native		3-4		3	Inconvenience/other commitments					
	American/	3 (2%)				Other/not specified					
	Alaskan Native					Other/not specified					
	Native		4-5	4-5 0							
	Hawaiian/	6 (3%)				Inconvenience/other commitments					
	Pacific Islander		5-6	2	Inconvenience/other commitments						
	Other	60 (34%)				Inconvenience/other commitments (childcare)					
	More than 1	2 (1%)	6-7	,	2	Other/not specified					
	race					Inconvenience/other commitments (childcare)					
Ethnicity	Hispanic	67 (38%)	7-8			Other/not specified					
	Non-Hispanic	109 (62%)			4	Other/not specified					
BMI	<18.9	2 (1%)			-						
	19-24.9	49 (28%)	Other/not specified								
	25-29.9	53 (30%)	Table 2. Number of patients who left before 8-hour observation was complete								
	30-34.9	47 (27%)	and reasons for leaving; D&E = dilation and evacuation								
	>35	24 (14%)									
History of Caesarean		32 (18%)				Indicated Uterotonics					OR
History of Medical Illnesses*		69 (39%)	Time of	of	. 6	ethylergo- ethylergo- novine arboprost isoprostol		Massa	oun		
Procedure Indication	Induced	119 (68%)	Interven-		nts m	Je	los	ost	Σ	ISOI	n to
	Maternal	0 (0%)		tion after receive		iivc	doc	bre	ine	Ultrasound	Return
	Health		D&E (hr)			ŭ	Carboprost	Misoprostol	Uterine	Ω	Re
	Intrauterine	2 (1%)		tion			0	2	$\supset$		
	Fetal Demise		0-1	5	C	)		1			
	Fetal Anomaly	51 (29%)									
	Pregnancy	1 (0.5%)	1-2	16	4	-	1	1	2	1	
	Complications			A	_		4		2	2	4.100
	Other	1 (0.5%)	2-3	11	5		1	1	2	2	1 LSC
	More than 1	2 1%)		12						2	1
	reason		3-4	42	C					2	D&C

Table 1. Characteristics of patients undergoing D&E at 22 wks or greater. \*Medical illnesses includes hypertension, diabetes, respiratory disease, cardiac disease, kidney disease, coagulopathy, psychiatric illness, immunocompromise, substance use



Figure 1. Flowchart showing patients undergoing D&E at 22 weeks or greater who received intervention following their procedure and type of interventions

Time of	Number	Indicated Uterotonics			age Sage	σ	OR
	of Patients receiving interven- tion		Carboprost	Misoprostol	Uterine Massage	Ultrasound	Return to C
0-1	5	0		1			
1-2	16	4	1	1	2	1	
2-3	11	5	1	1	2	2	1 LSC
3-4	42	0				2	1 D&C
4-5	41	1			1	2	1 D&C
5-6	16	1			1	2	
6-7	6	0					
7-8	1	0					
8-9	1	0					1 LSC
Total	139	11	2	3	C		
			12		6	9	4

Table 3. Patients undergoing D&E at 22 weeks or greater who received intervention following their procedure and type of interventions; D&E = dilation and evacuation; LSC = laparoscopy, D&C = dilation and curettage

#### Xochitl Green, BS Melody Y. Hou, MD MPH

## Results

- 1269 patients underwent 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester dilation and evacuation at UCDMC, of which 176 were at least 22 weeks GA
- 126 (72% of 176 patients) received prophylactic methylergonovine per clinical protocol
  - Depending on the provider, routine methylergonovine was often administered around 2 or 4 hours post-op.

- 37 patients (21% of 176 patients) did not receive any intervention

- 1 (0.5% of 176 patients) received methylergonovine, but it was unknown/not specified if it was indicated
- 12 (7% of 176 patients) received indicated interventions

## Conclusions

- Protocol implementation should be improved to ensure all patients received prophylactic methylergonovine per protocol
- Only 1 intervention occurred after 5 hours.
  - This intervention was a laparoscopy that occurred at 8 hours due to a known uterine perforation that occurred in the initial D&E.
- Patients who underwent uncomplicated dilation and evacuation at 22 weeks gestational age or greater did not require intervention after 5 hours post-op.

## **QI Opportunities**

- Recording of time and amount of bleeding were not precise, often described by the number of pads used and percent saturation of pads recorded in progress notes.
- Administration of methylergonovine was noted to be routine by some physicians, but not by all physicians.
  - Thorough chart review was required to best deduce whether administration of methylergonovine was routine or indicated

## References

- Mentula MJ, Niinimäki M, Suhonen S, Hemminki E, Gissler M, Heikinheimo O. Immediate adverse events after second trimester medical termination of pregnancy: results of a nationwide registry study. Hum Reprod. 2011 Apr;26(4):927-32. doi: 10.1093/humrep/der016. Epub 2011 Feb 11. PMID: 21317416.
- 2. Grossman D, Blanchard K, Blumenthal P. Complications after second trimester surgical and medical abortion. Reprod Health Matters. 2008 May;16(31 Suppl):173-82. doi: 10.1016/S0968-8080(08)31379-2. PMID: 18772098.
- . Wyatt MA, Tolcher MC. Standardizing Second-Trimester Medical Termination: Effects on Clinical Outcomes. Am J Perinatol. 2018 Jul;35(8):791-795. doi: 10.1055/s-0037-1619449. Epub 2018 Jan 5. PMID: 29304543.